



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/648,388	08/27/2003	Hideo Todokoro	1743/224	5325
7:	590 04/23/2004		EXAM	INER
KENYON & KENYON Suite 700			VANORE, DAVID A	
1500 K Street, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20005			2881	

DATE MAILED: 04/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

i
8

## Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/648,388	TODOKORO ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
David A Vanore	2881

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**Period for Reply** 

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
Status
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 April 2004</u> .  2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers
<ul> <li>9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10)  The drawing(s) filed on 27 August 2003 is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d) 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/477,060.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SR/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 042004.

6) Other:

#### Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed April 9, 2004 fails to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609 because it does not correctly list the named inventor of US Patent No. 6,066,849. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered as to the merits. Applicant is advised that the date of any re-submission of any item of information contained in this information disclosure statement or the submission of any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the statement, including all certification requirements for statements under 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609 ¶ C(1).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Richardson et al.

Richardson et al. teaches a system and method for scanning a sample with an electron microscope comprising the following:

Application/Control Number: 10/648,388

Art Unit: 2881

As recited in claims 1-3, scanning electron microscope and method of microscopy comprising:

an electron source for generating an electron beam (36), an acceleration voltage supply (32) for accelerating said electron beam generated from said electron source,

a scanning deflector (45) for scanning said electron beam on a sample, an object lens (42) for focusing said electron beam, and an electrode (52) to be supplied a voltage forming an electric field on a sample (Col. 4 Lines 55-68);

said scanning electron microscope further comprising:

a controller (16) for controlling said electron beam by

- (a) adding said voltage forming said electric field on said sample which promotes charging of said sample to a positive bias, between said electrode and said sample (Col. 4 Line 55-Col. 6 Line 8), and
- (b) irradiating said electron beam on a surface of said sample which is promoted to be

charged under the conditions that secondary electron generation efficiency of secondary electrons generated from said sample by irradiating said electron beam on said sample becomes close to 1.0 in comparison with conditions used when promoting said sample to be charged (Col. 4 Line 55-Col. 6 Line 8; especially Col. 5 Lines 4-11, 40-68, and Col. 6 Lines 1-8).

Art Unit: 2881

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The examiner has provided on the form PTO-892 references considered to be relevant to electron microscopes where the efficiency of secondary electron emission is controlled.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David A Vanore whose telephone number is (571) 272-2483. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John R. Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2477. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

dav

SUPEZMISOPY PATENT EXAMINER VZCHNOLOGY CENTER 2000